

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,472	02/24/2004	David Brady	280/102	1758	
	590 04/20/200 CS CORPORATION	EXAMINER			
C/O LEE & MO	•	KHAN, USMAN A			
3141 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 500 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042			ART UNIT PAPER NU		
	,	2622			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	/ PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE		DELIVER	Y MODE	
31 DA	AYS	04/20/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Α	plication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		1	0/784,472	E	BRADY ET AL.			
		E	xaminer	-	Art Unit			
		υ	sman Khan	2	622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appear	s on the cover sheet w	vith the cor	respondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANAGER, FROM THE MANAGER, GO MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of the properties of the p	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) unication. ututory period will a will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a pply and will expire SIX (6) MO se the application to become A	IICATION. To reply be timely ONTHS from the ABANDONED (filed mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>24 Febr</u>	uary 2004.					
2a)☐								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	-						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		,					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). ` a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internation			.4				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachme=	t(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	· ·			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Other: _		ент Аррисатон			

Application/Control Number: 10/784,472

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species:

First Species: Figure: 1

Second Species: Figure: 3

The species are independent or distinct because in the first species a focal plane

coding element with transform matrices are included with non-zero determinant, which

is not included in the second species and the second species includes a coding element

(52) for focusing and a processor, which are not included in first species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/784,472

Art Unit: 2622

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Usman Khan whose telephone number is (571) 270-

Application/Control Number: 10/784,472

Art Unit: 2622

1131. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thru 6:45-4:15; Fri 6:45-3:15 or

Page 4

Alt. Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Usman Khan 04/05/2007

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2622

DAVID OMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER